



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,015	09/18/2003	Michael W. Vice	10030015	9129
7590	04/28/2006		EXAMINER	
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Intellectual Property Administration Legal Department, DL429 P.O. Box 7599 Loveland, CO 80537-0599			CHOE, HENRY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2817	
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.:	Applicant(s)
	10/667,015	VICE, MICHAEL W.
	Examiner Henry K. Choe	Art Unit 2817

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 February 2006.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) 7-10 and 17-20 is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5,6,11-13,15 and 16 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) 4 and 14 is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.  
 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.  
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  
 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 11-13, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Osborne.

Regarding claims 1 and 11, Osborne discloses a two stage (24, 22) amplifier comprising a first amplifier stage (24) and a second amplifier stage (22), a first component (41) and a second component (42) coupled in series between the first (24) and second (22) amplifier stages, the first component (41) selected to provide AC decoupling of the first (24) and second (22) amplifier stages (see column 2, lines 50-53), and the second component (42) selected to provide for a stability of the two stage amplifier (see column 2, lines 55-58).

Regarding claims 2 and 12, wherein the first component (41) is an inductor.

Regarding claims 3 and 13, the inductor (41) of Osborne has a capability to perform such claimed function [decoupling the first (24) and second (22) amplifier stage] since in AC operation, an inductor acts like an open circuit. Thereby decoupling the amplifier stages (24, 22) from each other.

Regarding claims 5 and 15, wherein the second component (42) is a resistor.

Regarding claims 6 and 16, the resistor (42) of Osborne inherently has a value that selected to reduce the ringing [(i.e. maintain the stability); see column 2, lines 55-56].

#### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

Claims 4 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### ***Reasons for Allowance***

Claims 7-10 and 17-20 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Regarding claims 4 and 14, the closest prior art of record, Osborne does not disclose the following limitations: the value of the inductor is selected in response to a lowest desired operating frequency of the two stage amplifier. Regarding claims 7 and 17, the closest prior art of record, Osborne does not disclose the following limitations: the first and second amplifier stages comprise a common source amplifier stage and a common drain amplifier stage.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably

Art Unit: 2817

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry Choe whose telephone number is (571) 272-1760.

  
HENRY CHOE  
PRIMARY EXAMINER